Advances in the study of mega-tsunamis in the geological record

Raphael Paris, Kazuhisa Goto, James Goff, Hideaki Yanagisawa

► To cite this version:

Raphael Paris, Kazuhisa Goto, James Goff, Hideaki Yanagisawa. Advances in the study of mega-tsunamis in the geological record. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 2020, 210, pp.103381. 10.1016/j.earscirev.2020.103381 . hal-02964184

HAL Id: hal-02964184

<https://hal.uca.fr/hal-02964184>

Submitted on 12 Nov 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Advances in the study of mega-tsunamis in the geological record

Raphaël Paris¹, Kazuhisa Goto², James Goff³, Hideaki Yanagisawa⁴

¹ Université Clermont Auvergne, CNRS, IRD, OPGC, Laboratoire Magmas et Volcans, F-63000 Clermont-Ferrand, France.

² Department of Earth and Planetary Science, The University of Tokyo, Hongo 7-3-1, Tokyo, Japan.

³ PANGAEA Research Centre, UNSW Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2052, Australia.

⁴ Department of Regional Management, Tohoku Gakuin University, Tenjinsawa 2-1-1, Sendai, Japan.

Abstract

Extreme geophysical events such as asteroid impacts and giant landslides can generate mega-tsunamis with wave heights considerably higher than those observed for other forms of tsunamis. In this paper, we review recent advances in the study of mega-tsunamis in the geological record, focusing on well-documented examples that have captured particular attention over the past decade. We provide up-to-date background on the source mechanisms of tsunami generation during asteroid impacts and ocean-island landslides, which are the largest landslides on Earth. We also discuss the main sources of uncertainty for modelling such mega-tsunamis, and for addressing associated hazards.

Introduction

The 2011 Tohoku-oki and 2004 Indian Ocean tsunamis were occasionally described as “mega-tsunamis”, not only in the media but also in peer-reviewed literature (e.g. Lekkas et al., 2013), probably because they were the largest ones observed in the modern era (Goff et al., 2014). However, there is evidence in the geological record for rare but extreme events, with wave heights considerably larger than those observed during the 2011 and 2004 tsunamis (e.g. Moore and Moore, 1984; Bourgeois et al., 1988).

As a background to this discrepancy, it is important to recognize the fundamental difference in viewpoints between geologists, other scientists and the public regarding hazards. For instance,

as commonly observed in risk assessment (Fig. 1), event probability decreases with an increase in hazard intensity (e.g., Kawata, 2003). Engineers and government agencies have to set thresholds of design force to enable infrastructure to be built for protection against hazards. However, although the frequency is low, some events are larger than this design force and as such, the protection that has been constructed can fail. For such overwhelming hazards, engineers and government agencies have to estimate a maximum size that they can reasonably prepare for reducing damage. Sometimes, people misunderstand this and assume that this maximum hazard is actually the real maximum, but larger hazards can still occur although at such a low frequency that we consider them negligible in a human lifetime. These hazards are beyond the limit of modern-style disaster prevention countermeasures so that when they do occur they are most definitely “unexpected (or “*souteigai*” in Japanese) hazards” (Goff et al., 2014). The 2011 Tohoku-oki and the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunamis were examples of these kinds of unexpectedly large events. Depending on the people who study these larger hazards, they use various terms such as “maximum”, “unexpected” and “mega” events.

In contrast, geologists who study the Earth’s history usually investigate extreme hazards such as asteroid impacts, large igneous provinces, snowball earth, etc. Without doubt, these events are hazards with global impacts and are far beyond the normal-style risk assessment (Fig. 1); these hazards can lead even to mass extinctions. Compared with these extreme hazards, “normal” hazards which occur over intervals of 100s to 1000s of years (e.g., large earthquakes and tsunamis) are quite frequent and relatively small events from a geological point of view. Sometimes these events are below the resolution and detection limits of geological research. Indeed, even recent large events such as the 2011 Tohoku-oki and the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunamis are probably only just large enough that sedimentary evidence for them will be preserved in strata over geological timescales. Also, geologically speaking, the occurrence of these events is not unexpected.

The discrepancy is in terms of the human perspective. While risk assessment is carried out from the human perspective (datum on the left-hand side of the horizontal axis in Figure 1), geologists study it from the point-of-view of deep time (datum on right-hand side of the horizontal axis in Figure 1). This is essentially why geologists generally consider that neither the 2011 Tohoku-oki nor the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunamis should be called “mega-tsunamis” since they know that there have been far larger events (or they consider that evidence for larger events is still undiscovered) that deserve to be called as such; “Mega” defines the largest events we know about at the moment.

Goff et al. (2014) proposed that the term “mega-tsunami” should be reserved for tsunamis with an initial wave amplitude exceeding 50 m at their sources, thus excluding all tsunamis generated by historical earthquakes. Paris et al. (2018) adopted the following definition: mega-tsunamis have a magnitude exceeding all published tsunami magnitude scales. Whatever the definition, among all the possible source mechanisms of tsunamis, only large landslides and asteroid impacts have the potential to generate mega-tsunamis.

With a maximum runup of 524 m, the 1958 tsunami in Lituya Bay (Miller, 1960) could be considered as the only historical example of a mega-tsunami. However, this exceptional runup was caused by the restriction due to the slope just opposite the source landslide ($30.6 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$) and values of runup rapidly decreased down to 10 m at 12 km from the source. By comparison, the December 2018 Anak Krakatau volcano flank collapse had a volume three times larger ($> 0.1 \text{ km}^3$; Gouhier and Paris, 2019) than the Lituya Bay landslide, and an initial leading positive water displacement of 50 to 80 m (Grilli et al., 2019; Paris et al., 2019). Following the definition proposed by Goff et al. (2014), the 2018 Anak Krakatau tsunami could thus enter the mega-tsunami category, but the wave heights observed on the coasts of Sumatra and Java (40-60 km away from the volcano) were lower than 7 m (Takabatake et al., 2019; Muhari et al., 2019), which falls far from the maximum wave heights of the 2004 Indian Ocean (Lavigne et al., 2009) and 2011 Tohoku-oki tsunamis (Mori et al., 2012). Volumes of the 1958 Lituya Bay and 2018 Anak Krakatau landslides are at least one order of magnitude lower than the largest historical volcano flank failures that generated tsunamis, i.e. 1888 Ritter Island (5 km^3 ; Cooke, 1981; Johnson, 1987), and 1741 Oshima-Oshima (2.4 km^3 ; Satake and Kato, 2001). Mass transport deposits on the seafloor and giant collapse scars on the flanks of ocean islands with volumes of tens to hundreds of km^3 support the existence of even larger events in the geological record (e.g. Moore et al., 1989; Carracedo et al., 1999; Oehler et al., 2004; Masson et al., 2002, 2008).

The sizes of the clasts moved upward by a tsunami can be compared between modern, historical, and geological events. Coastal boulders with a mass of ~50 to 700 tonnes have been transported by modern and historical events such as the tsunamis in the Indian Ocean in 2004 (85 tonne, Sumatra: Paris et al., 2009), Tohoku-oki in 2011 (690 tonne, Tanohata: Iwai et al., 2019), Krakatau in 1883 (200 tonne, Java: Verbeek, 1886), and Meiwa in 1771 (216 tonne, Ishigaki Island: Goto et al., 2010), but all were deposited on the coastal lowland. Tsunami waves caused by ocean island flank collapses have the potential to carry clasts that are considerably heavier and higher above sea level. In the Cape Verde Islands, Ramalho et al.

(2015) reported boulders up to 700 tonnes, which were quarried from the edge of a palaeocliff (presently at 160-190 m above present sea level (a.p.s.l.)) and transported upwards to 220 m a.p.s.l. The likely cause was a mega-tsunami generated by a massive flank collapse of Fogo volcano at ca. 70 ka (Day et al., 1999; Masson et al., 2008; Paris et al., 2011; Ramalho et al., 2015). However, the largest known tsunami boulders have been found on Tongatapu Island, Tonga (1600 tonnes: Frohlich et al., 2009) and Shimoji Island, Japan (2500 tonnes: Goto et al., 2010).

In this paper, we briefly provide some background on pioneering studies of the sedimentary signatures of mega-tsunamis generated by ocean island flank collapse and asteroid impact, and we discuss the main conclusions and perspectives that must be drawn from studies of the last decade. For a detailed review of the characteristics of mega-tsunami conglomerates on ocean islands, we refer to Paris et al. (2018).

Marine conglomerates at high elevation on the flanks of ocean islands

Debated evidence of mega-tsunamis in Hawaii...

The origin of elevated marine conglomerates on the flanks of the Hawaiian Islands has long been debated since Moore and Moore (1984) revisited the Hulope Gravel (southern LānaʻI, up to 326 m a.p.s.l.), previously presented as an ancient littoral deposit (Stearns, 1938), and concluded that it was actually laid down by a tsunami. Similar fossiliferous conglomerates in MolokaʻI (Moore et al., 1994) and Big Island (McMurtry et al., 2004) later contributed to the controversy. The different arguments in favour or against the tsunami hypothesis have been widely discussed (e.g. Grigg and Jones, 1997; Felton et al., 2006; Crook and Felton, 2008) and we refer to Paris et al. (2018) for a comprehensive review. The interpretation of the conglomerates also needs to account for the history of vertical motion of the Hawaiian Islands, and the dating of coral clasts in their deposits. In short, voluminous landslide and slump deposits on the seafloor around Hawaii (e.g. Moore et al., 1989; Normark et al., 1993), reefs drowned by long-term subsidence (e.g. Moore and Fornari, 1984; Webster et al., 2007), and coeval ages of coral clasts from different islands (Rubin et al., 2000; McMurtry et al., 2004) strongly support the hypothesis of mega-tsunamis related to flank failures.

125

126

127 *... later supported by unequivocal evidence in the Canary and Cape Verde Islands*

128 In parallel to studies in Hawaii, another “unusual” marine deposit was interpreted as being
129 caused by a mega-tsunami in the Canary Islands. In the Agaete Valley (western Gran
130 Canaria), Perez-Torrado et al. (2006) described a fossiliferous conglomerate attached to the
131 slopes at elevations ranging between 41 and 188 m a.p.s.l., a stratigraphical position that does
132 not fit into the framework of relative sea-level changes and uplift of Gran Canaria Island
133 (Meco et al., 2007), i.e., it cannot easily be interpreted as a paleo-beach deposit. Perez-
134 Torrado et al. (2006) thus concluded that it was most likely created by a tsunami caused by a
135 massive collapse, with the Güímar event on the eastern flank of Tenerife Island being the best
136 candidate.

137 During the last decade, mega-tsunami deposits were also found in the Cape Verde Islands
138 (Paris et al., 2011; Ramalho et al., 2015; Madeira et al., 2020), which is not surprising
139 considering the number of massive flank collapses that have affected these islands (Masson et
140 al., 2008), including the Late Pleistocene Fogo collapse (Day et al., 1999). On northern
141 Santiago Island, mega-tsunami evidence is provided by fossiliferous conglomerates and
142 boulders at elevations up to 100 m and 220 m a.s.l. respectively (Paris et al., 2011; Ramalho et
143 al., 2015). The Santiago conglomerates share many sedimentological characteristics with their
144 Hawaiian and Canarian counterparts: complex but diffuse internal organisation with a poor
145 lateral continuity of the subunits, poor sorting, landward and seaward imbrication of the
146 clasts, heterogeneous composition of locally derived volcanic rocks and mixed taxa of
147 shallow and deep-water fossils (never in life position, often fragmented) (Fig. 2), an erosive
148 base with rip-up clasts of the underlying substratum, and downward-injected veins of
149 conglomerates (clastic dykes) inside the palaeosol (Paris et al., 2011). The megaclasts
150 reported by Ramalho et al. (2015) were transported upwards from a cliff edge presently at
151 160-190 m a.p.s.l. (Fig. 2) to elevations up to 220 m a.p.s.l. (equivalent to a runup of 270 m
152 above coeval sea-level) and 650 m from their source. The largest megaclast measured
153 9.4×6.8×3.8 m and had an estimated mass of 700 tonnes. ³He exposure ages of the megaclasts
154 range between 65 and 84 ka, with an arithmetic mean age of 73 ka (Ramalho et al., 2015),
155 which is concordant with the age of the last massive flank collapse of Fogo Island volcano ca.

70 ka (Foeken et al., 2009; Cornu et al., 2017), and with the age of another tsunami conglomerate recently identified on Maio Island (Madeira et al., 2020).

Quaternary deposits are particularly well preserved in the Cape Verde Islands, making them useful for identifying tsunami deposits within a complex framework of elevated marine terraces, littoral deposits, and alluvial fans (e.g. Madeira et al., 2020). For a detailed review of the sedimentological criteria used for distinguishing mega-tsunami conglomerates from other coastal deposits, we refer to Perez-Torrado et al. (2006) and Paris et al. (2011, 2018), but many of the criteria listed by Paris et al. (2018) in their Table 1 are not specific to tsunamis. Paris et al. (2018) identified three specific criteria: (1) the succession of landward and seaward clast imbrication; (2) the increasing abundance of terrestrial material upward and landward; (3) and the mixed and unusually rich fauna, ranging from terrestrial to circa-littoral species (Fig. 2). Following these criteria, a number of mega-tsunami conglomerates have been identified, not only in the Canary Islands (Meco, 2008; Paris et al., 2017) or the Cape Verde Islands (Madeira et al., 2020), but also on Mauritius (Paris et al., 2013). In Maio, Madeira et al. (2020) reported four distinct tsunamis occurring over the last 500 kyrs, including the Fogo tsunami previously identified on Santiago by Paris et al. (2011) and Ramalho et al. (2015). The runup on Maio was in excess of 60 m above coeval sea level at 120 km from Fogo volcano, compared to a runup of 270 m on Santiago at 70 km from Fogo.

Other candidate islands?

With more than 40 flank failures identified over the last 2 Ma (Labazuy, 1996; Oehler et al., 2004), Réunion Island represent a significant source of mega-tsunamis in the Indian Ocean. However, there is so far only one published study on mega-tsunami deposits related to the impact of a Réunion Island flank collapse on the southern coast of Mauritius Island, where Paris et al. (2013) reported reef megaclasts and a tsunami conglomerate at elevations up to 40 m. The maximum age of the tsunami is given by a ^{14}C age of 4425 ± 35 BP on a coral branch, which is concordant with that of the last flank collapse on the eastern flank of Piton de la Fournaise volcano on Réunion Island (Labazuy, 1996; Oehler et al., 2004). The humid climate of Mauritius and Réunion Islands does not allow tsunami deposits to be as well preserved as in the Canary and Cape Verde Islands, but other mega-tsunami evidence might yet be discovered in Rodrigues Island or Madagascar.

In the Atlantic Ocean, studies in the Cape Verde and Canary Islands should be extended to the Azores (Andrade et al., 2006), where large-scale mass wasting has been documented, especially on the southern flank of Pico Island (e.g. Mitchell et al., 2011; Costa et al., 2015). However, there is so far no report of mega-tsunami deposits that could be related to flank collapses in the Azores. The same is true for the southern Pacific Islands. Quaternary flank collapses in the Society and Marquesas Islands (e.g. Clouard et al., 2001; Clément et al., 2002; Hildenbrand et al., 2006) might have generated mega-tsunamis whose traces are yet to be identified. Blahūt et al. (2019) recently created a worldwide database of “giant landslides on volcanic islands” (<https://www.irms.cas.cz/ext/giantlandslides/index.php>) which can provide a general framework for completing the catalogue of mega-tsunamis.

Multistage flank collapses

Following the seminal work of Perez-Torrado et al. (2006), Madeira et al. (2011) described new sections in the Agaete Valley (Gran Canaria) and they found two other tsunami conglomerates below the one described by Perez-Torrado et al. (2006). This succession of three tsunami deposits in the same valley not only illustrates the recurrence of massive flank collapses in the Canary Islands, but it also raises the following question: do ocean island mega-failures collapse in one-go or retrogressively as multistage events separated by short periods of time? Answering this question is of fundamental importance for evaluating the mega-tsunami threat.

Giachetti et al. (2011) demonstrated that a multistage scenario of collapse (rather than in one go) generates a tsunami large enough to explain the maximum inundation distance inferred from the spatial distribution of tsunami deposits in the Agaete valley. Hunt et al. (2010, 2013) used the sequences of distal turbidites to illustrate the multistage nature of the majority of the Canary Islands flank collapses, including the Güímar collapse that produced the Agaete mega-tsunami. On the northern flank of Tenerife, the Icod collapse is recorded offshore by three debris lobes that were successively emplaced (Watts and Masson, 2001) and a stacked sequence of seven turbidites (Hunt et al., 2011). The compositions of the successive turbidites and their subunits vary from basaltic lavas of the submarine flank to phonolitic-trachytic lavas of the subaerial edifice, recording how successive failures removed different parts of the edifice (Hunt et al., 2011).

Based on the structure of the tsunami deposits in Santiago (Cape Verde) and available geophysical data offshore, whether the Fogo collapse was multistage or not is far from evident (Masson et al., 2008; Paris et al., 2011). However, as for the Agaete Valley in Gran Canaria (Perez-Torrado et al., 2006; Madeira et al., 2011), numerical simulations show that tsunami runup resulting from a multistage failure can reproduce the spatial distribution of mega-tsunami deposits reported by Paris et al. (2011) and Ramalho et al. (2015), whereas massive collapses (in one-go) may over-estimate the runup (Paris et al., 2018).

Links between volcanic activity, flank instability, and mega-tsunami

Causal links between some ocean island flank collapses and major explosive eruptions was unclear until new evidence of a mega-tsunami combined with explosive activity was demonstrated by Paris et al. (2017) in Tenerife. Indeed, the Icod collapse on the northern flank of Tenerife was highly debated because its genesis was coeval with a major caldera-forming volcanic eruption (El Abrigo eruption and the Las Cañadas caldera: Marti et al., 1994; Ancochea et al., 1999; Edgar et al., 2007). The Icod collapse was a multistage retrogressive event that mobilised a volume of $\sim 200 \text{ km}^3$ from both the submarine and subaerial flanks of the island (Watts and Masson, 1995, 2001; Hunt et al., 2011). Paris et al. (2017) recently proposed a scenario that links the successive flank collapses with the Abrigo eruption and two major tsunamis at ca. 170 ka. Paris et al. (2017) used the composition of tsunami deposits on the northwestern coast of Tenerife (at altitudes up to 132 m a.p.s.l.) to propose the following scenario: an initial tsunami was generated during the submarine stage of the retrogressive failure and before the onset of the Abrigo eruption, whereas a second and larger tsunami immediately followed the debris avalanche of the subaerial edifice and formation of the caldera. This original scenario of coupled flank collapse and explosive eruption seems to be recurrent for the central volcanic edifice of Tenerife (Hunt et al., 2018), and it represents a new type of volcano-tectonic event on ocean islands.

Numerical modelling of ocean island mega-tsunamis: learning from historical examples of landslide tsunamis

Landslides and their resultant tsunamis could be an extreme hazard with potentially several tens to hundreds of meters of local tsunami run-up height. Recent developments in numerical

simulation can help us to estimate the impact and affected areas of such events, although it is a challenging exercise (e.g. Løvholt et al., 2015; Yavari-Ramshe & Ataie-Ashtiani, 2016). The choice of parameters for numerical simulations of mega-tsunamis generated by large-scale flank collapses of ocean islands is difficult because there is no instrumental or observational data available. This is particularly well illustrated by the debate on the potential impact of a tsunami generated by a large-scale collapse of the western flank of La Palma, Canary Islands (e.g. Ward and Day, 2001; Løvholt et al., 2008; Abadie et al., 2012; Tehranirad et al., 2015).

The main factors used to determine the initial size of a landslide-induced tsunami are the volume of landslide mass, water depth, velocity of movement, landslide scenario (in one-go or multistage) and the types of landslide that are classified by movements such as rotational landslide, debris flow or avalanche (USGS, 2006), and conditions (submarine or subaerial). Compared to earthquake-induced tsunamis, landslide tsunamis can cause locally extreme run-ups with a high wave energy caused by the relatively short wave periods that are generated (Muhari et al., 2018). However, short period waves are likely to be significantly attenuated over a short distance (Friz et al., 2004; Heidarzadeh & Satake, 2015; Heidarzadeh & Satake, 2017). To simulate landslide-induced tsunamis, it is necessary to develop models of both landslide movement and the short-period tsunami waves. To explain the physics of landslide-induced tsunamis, three types of models have been proposed.

The first type of model generates the tsunami waves by inputting an initial waveform estimated by analytical, empirical or observational landform changes (e.g. Tappin et al., 2014; Grilli and Watts 2005; Satake and Kato, 2001). This method is commonly used for preliminary assessments because the model is easy and simple to use (e.g. Okamura et al., 2018). The model can also be used for the calculation of tsunamis caused by landform deformation such as caldera collapse (e.g. Ulvrova et al., 2016). However, it is difficult to estimate the interactive process between landslide movement and the resulting tsunami wave.

The second type is a two-layer model of depth-averaged equations representing the tsunami (water mass) and the landslide (soil mass) in upper and lower layers, respectively (e.g. Imamura et al., 2001; Kawamata et al., 2005). This approach was applied to simulating massive flank collapses and their resulting mega-tsunamis in Reunion Island (Kelfoun et al., 2010), Tenerife (Giachetti et al., 2012; Paris et al., 2017), and Fogo (Paris et al., 2011). Since this type of model considers the landslide as a fluid, it is adequate for simulating the tsunami caused by a debris flow or debris avalanche. As examples, Ioki et al. (2019) simulated the

1741 tsunami caused by the sector collapse of the Oshima-oshima volcano in Japan using the two-layer model with a Coulomb viscosity model to reproduce both the distribution of landslide deposits and historical tsunami wave heights. Yanagisawa et al. (2018) simulated the 1792 tsunami caused by sector collapse of Unzen Mayuyama volcano in Japan using a two-layer model. Their model predicted that a wave amplitude greater than 50 m would occur soon after tsunami generation. These modelling results were well validated by historical information of tsunami sediments (Imamura & Matsumoto, 1998; Tsuji et al., 2002; 2017). We suggest that the two-layer approach should accurately model extremely large landslide tsunamis.

The third type is a 3-dimensional (3D) model used to simulate landslide-generated impulse waves. Mader (2002) simulated the 1958 Lituya Bay tsunami using the Navier-Stokes equations for compressible fluid flow. The calculated maximum wave height in the bay was about 250 m above sea level, which ran up to a height of 580 m, agreeing well with the observed runup of 524 m (Miller, 1960). Franco et al. (2020) simulated this tsunami using the Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) software Flow-3D, which computes the movement of two fluids having a different density. Recently, methods that do not use gridding, such as Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH), perform well in simulating the complex multi-phase flow of a landslide-generated tsunami (Xenakis et al., 2017). There is also a hybrid using 2D and 3D models for landslide and water movement, respectively, which can accurately simulate waves with short periods with a reducing computational load for the landslide movement calculation (Ma et al., 2015; Grilli et al., 2019).

Although modelling is indeed useful, accuracy of the initial parameters of the landslide remains controversial because these are usually difficult to observe directly or to infer from geological data (e.g. geometry of the landslide scar, characteristics of the landslide deposits). For the 1741, 1792 and 1958 events, observations and inundation data are used to validate the model including, for example, the topography before and after sector collapses, the extent of the landslide deposit and erosional area, historical tsunami heights, and tsunami deposits; and it was therefore possible to infer the landslide parameters using these data. Since mega-tsunamis are a low frequency hazard we only have rare opportunities to collect sufficient evidence to determine most of the necessary parameters. With this in mind, Sassa et al. (2016) enhanced the landslide parameters for the 1792 sector collapse of Unzen Mayuyama volcano by conducting ring shear stress tests on soil from the landslide material. Although these results are important as a fundamental dataset, the scaling of experimental results to in situ prototype

remains an issue. Therefore, it is important to collect as much accurate information as possible, not only from experimental data but also from studies of recent events, such as the 2018 Anak Krakatau tsunami (Grilli et al., 2019; Muhari et al., 2019; Paris et al., 2019). Although this recent landslide tsunami was not mega, the simulation of such events is useful for developing reliable models that can be later applied to the study of potential megatsunamis.

Asteroid impacts and mega-tsunamis

Asteroid impacts are extreme phenomena that infrequently punctuate Earth's history. They can drastically change Earth's environment and climate and can lead to mass extinctions (Alvarez et al., 1980; Schulte et al., 2010). Since major parts of the Earth's surface are covered by oceans (~70%), many past (and future) impact events will have occurred in the oceans and potentially generated mega-tsunamis. However, oceanic impact craters are difficult to identify, so there are few examples recorded (they represent only ~20% of all known impact craters, e.g., Jansa, 1993; Ormö and Lindström, 2000; Dypvik and Jansa, 2003). Many of them are undoubtedly waiting to be discovered (e.g., Nozaki et al., 2019), although some oceanic impact craters may well have been eroded away or subducted into the mantle over time. When seeking evidence for oceanic events, tsunami deposits with associated traces of the impact are extremely useful (e.g., Gersonde et al., 1997).

Impact-induced mega-tsunamis can also occur on other planets and satellites if water or other liquids exist on their surfaces. Within our solar system, Mars is of the greatest interest since it has been suggested to have had a putative ocean in its past (Ormö et al., 2004; Iijima et al., 2014; Costard et al., 2017, 2019). While the presence of an ocean on Mars is still under debate (e.g., Parker et al., 1993; Tanaka, 1997), the identification of Martian oceanic impact craters and tsunami deposits has the potential to assist further discussion about the ocean's possible existence, its depth and lifespan. On this point, the understanding of the oceanic impact process on Earth can provide crucial information for the identification of similar features on other planets and satellites.

Among oceanic impacts on Earth, that caused by the Chicxulub asteroid, which struck the Yucatán Peninsula, Mexico, ca. 66 Ma, is currently the largest known impact. The paleontological evidence of it defines the Cretaceous/Paleogene (K/Pg) boundary and the

impact is now widely accepted as the major trigger of a mass extinction at this time (Schulte et al., 2010). The K/Pg boundary tsunami deposits have been studied for more than 30 years since Bourgeois et al. (1988). Also, the Eltanin asteroid at 2.51 Ma (Kyte et al., 1988; Goff et al., 2012) is another interesting example of an oceanic impact event that was recognized in the absence of an impact crater. The research histories of the Chicxulub and Eltanin events and the resultant tsunami deposits are interesting examples that may serve to guide future work in identifying undiscovered oceanic impacts and resultant generation of mega-tsunamis.

The K/Pg boundary tsunami deposits

Since it was first proposed by Alvarez et al. (1980), there have been numerous discussions about the K/Pg impact and extinction event. The most serious weaknesses of the impact hypothesis in the 1980s was the lack of conclusive evidence of an impact crater. The K/Pg boundary deposits, which were reported during the 1980s mostly around Europe and North America, are usually a few mms to cms in thickness (e.g., Alvarez et al., 1980; Smit et al., 1980). During the late 1980s, Bourgeois et al. (1988) reported meter thick tsunami deposits on the K/Pg boundary at the Brazos River in Texas, USA. It is interesting to note that this impact tsunami work was being reported at almost exactly the same time as the first scientific papers about Holocene paleotsunami deposits were being reported in the Pacific Northwest, USA (Atwater, 1987), Japan (Minoura et al., 1987) and Europe (Dawson et al., 1988). The presence of impact-induced tsunami deposits around the Gulf of Mexico indicated that the impact must have occurred close to the Gulf coast (e.g., Hildebrand and Boynton, 1990; Maurrasse and Sen, 1991). Finally in 1991, Hildebrand et al. (1991) identified the Chicxulub crater on the northern flank of the Yucatàn Peninsula. The impact site was on the Yucatàn carbonate platform and was interpreted as having occurred in relatively shallow water (~200 m, Matsui et al., 2002).

After the discovery of the Chicxulub crater, during the 1990s and 2000s, numerous K/Pg boundary offshore deposits were reported around the Gulf of Mexico (Maurrasse and Sen, 1991; Alvarez et al., 1992; Smit et al., 1992, 1996; Smit, 1999) and the proto-Caribbean Sea (e.g., Takayama et al., 2000). These offshore deposits have similar sedimentary features that can be characterized by some of following: 1) basal erosional contact, 2) large rip-up clasts or coarse basal spherule layer, 3) multiple sets of bi-directional cross laminations that indicate repeated reversal of flow directions, 4) massive but monotonous upward fining units with

slight variations in grain size and/or composition, 4) they are a meter to few hundred meters thick, and 5) there is an abundance of organic matter such as wood (e.g., Smit et al., 1992, 1996; Smit, 1999; Tada et al., 2003; Schulte et al., 2012). Based primarily on these characteristics, these offshore deposits have been interpreted as having a tsunami origin (e.g., Smit, 1999). On the other hand, other researchers have suggested that these deposits can alternatively be interpreted as impact-induced turbidites or submarine landslide deposits (Bohor et al., 1996; Bralower et al., 1998) or the combination of lower gravity flow deposit and upper thick tsunami deposit (Kiyokawa et al., 2002; Tada et al., 2003; Goto et al., 2008).

Since there are very few reports of modern tsunami deposits in the deep ocean, but they seem likely to have been emplaced, the differentiation between tsunami deposits and turbidites remains an important issue for paleotsunami researchers. Indeed, submarine tsunami deposits formed by recent events have only been reported since the 2000s (e.g., Noda et al., 2007), although some possible Holocene ones were reported in the Mediterranean Sea (Kastens and Cita, 1981; Cita et al., 1996). Note that the potential offshore K/Pg tsunami deposits were studied and interpreted without any modern analogues. Following the recent 2011 Tohoku-oki tsunami, there were some reports concerning deposits formed in 100-6000 m water depth (Ikehara et al., 2014; McHugh et al., 2016). Interestingly, some of these deposits are interpreted as being a combination of a lower turbidite triggered by the earthquake or tsunami and an upper tsunami deposit (Ikehara et al., 2014; Usami et al., 2017) with peaks of Cs concentration, like the iridium anomaly of the K/Pg boundary deposits, released from the damaged Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant (Ikehara et al., 2014). This interpretation is similar to those of the K/Pg boundary reported in the proto-Caribbean sea (Takayama et al., 2000) although the 2011 deposits are significantly thinner.

More recently, DePalma et al. (2019) reported an onshore K/Pg surge deposit in North Dakota, USA. The site is located ~3000 km away from the impact site near the narrow seaway that connected to the Gulf of Mexico during the Cretaceous (DePalma et al., 2019). They proposed that the deposit was formed not by the tsunami generated by the impact but by an impact-induced seismic seiche similar to those observed in Norway following the 2011 Tohoku-oki event (Bondevik et al., 2013).

K/Pg tsunami generation mechanisms

Another important discussion concerns how the tsunami was generated by the Chicxulub impact. Bralower et al. (1998) suggested that the tsunami could have been generated by an impact induced landslide. Matsui et al. (2002) suggested mainly three generation mechanisms of the K/Pg impact-induced tsunami: 1) a rim wave formed from the collapse of the large splash caused by the impact, 2) impact-induced landslide, and 3) ocean water flowing into (resurge) and flowing out from the crater. Tsunamis could have been generated by all of these processes simultaneously with differing intensities and waveforms. For instance, Matsui et al. (2002) suggested that the rim wave might have had only a small impact along the Gulf coast. This is because a rim wave is characterized by a high wave amplitude with a short wave period at the impact site. The tsunami may be very large around the proximal impact site but it is unstable and dissipates quickly. An impact-induced landslide also has the potential to generate a large tsunami that is unidirectional. Namely, if the submarine landslide were generated off the northern flank of the impact crater (Bralower et al., 1998), a large tsunami would have been preferentially propagated northwards.

The resurge process may induce the movement of a significant volume of ocean water (Matsui et al., 2002). If this was indeed generated during the Chicxulub impact, wave periods tend to be long (10 h according to Matsui et al., 2002) and stable, propagating through the ocean and becoming very large along the coast. Matsui et al. (2002) estimated that the maximum run-up height around the Gulf of Mexico could have reached as high as 300 m and this could explain the ocean-wide distribution of tsunami deposits in the Gulf of Mexico and proto-Caribbean Sea.

The resurge should rework fallback ejecta inside the crater and so the process is testable using core samples from the site. Goto et al. (2004) and Smit et al. (2004) studied the ICDP YAX-1 cores recovered 65 km from the crater centre (near the crater rim) and found sedimentary features with influence of flow such as climbing ripples and repeated upward fining and coarsening units; they suggested that resurge did indeed occur following the Chicxulub impact. However, others have suggested that a large portion of the inferred resurge deposit can be interpreted as fallback ejecta (e.g., Wittmann et al., 2007). Also, if the crater rim rose high above sea level then it may have prevented ocean water flowing into the crater (Bahlburg et al., 2010). Since the water depth of the impact site was considered to be shallow, numerical modeling results indicate that only a low energy resurge might have occurred locally (Bahlburg et al., 2010). On the other hand, the resurge process might have been stronger than previously thought according to the recent studies. Gulick et al. (2008, 2019) suggested that

water depths around the impact site would have been much deeper than previously thought. In 2016, IODP Expedition 364 recovered continuous cores at the peak ring inside the crater (45.6 km from the crater centre). Gulick et al. (2019) studied the sedimentology of these cores and based on the presence of laminations and variations in grain size, suggested that resurge deposits were also present. The presence of inferred resurge deposits at the two separate sites near the crater centre and rim suggests that this process was large and occurred at a crater-wide scale.

The tsunami generation process of the Chicxulub impact is still under discussion and more research including numerical modeling for sediment transport should be carried out in the future.

The Eltanin impact event

While it was originally thought that the Eltanin asteroid impact occurred around 2.15 Ma, its date has subsequently moved back to 2.51 ± 0.07 Ma, contemporaneous with the Pliocene–Pleistocene boundary at 2.58 Ma (Goff et al., 2012). Unlike Chicxulub, this was a deep ocean (4–5 km) impact that struck in the Southern Ocean some 1500 km SSW of Chile. Furthermore, unlike Chicxulub there is no seafloor crater with the impact being identified through traces of intense erosion and the presence of meteoritic material in sedimentary rocks collected 500 km apart (Gersonde et al., 1997). The absence of a physical crater and the distribution of meteoric material have allowed researchers to estimate the asteroid diameter at between 1 and 4 km. However, there is considerable debate concerning this diameter, which has significant implications for numerical modelling of any resultant tsunami (e.g. Korycansky & Lynett, 2005; Ward & Asphaug, 2002; Weiss et al., 2006; 2015). There are significant disparities between most of the model outputs, but recently Weiss et al. (2015) have produced the most comprehensive study using an assumed diameter of a mere 750 m. This resulted in wave amplitudes between 8 and 10 m in southern Chile to less than a meter in northern Chile. Needless to say, if a larger diameter is used, amplitudes are larger. These results have been used to suggest that much of the proposed physical evidence for a circum-South Pacific tsunami generated by the impact is incorrect. The size of the modelled wave means that it could not transport much of the material found in the proposed coarse-grained tsunami deposits.

The geological evidence is compelling, however, so the problem lies mainly with the modelling of asteroid impact tsunamis. Modelling waves produced by large sized impactors becomes increasingly complex and as such it is simpler to work with a smaller diameter (Goff et al., 2012). Numerous arguments have been made for the inferred size of the Eltanin asteroid but ultimately it seems most reasonable to place it somewhere between 1 and 4 km and as such we are still unclear about the possible maximum size of the tsunami wave amplitude along the Chilean and other South Pacific coastlines.

Possible Eltanin impact related deposits have been reported around the Pacific Ocean from as far north as Japan and as far south as Antarctica, with the densest concentration being along the Chilean coast, largely associated with either the Ranquil or Pisco Formations (Goff et al., 2012). Some of these deposits have been dismissed as tsunamites through both numerical modelling and geological reassessment, the most notable being those at Hornitos where the tsunamite was reinterpreted as a debrite (Spiske et al., 2014). Most recently though, Le Roux (2015) has questioned this reinterpretation stating that it may well still be a tsunami deposit but that it could be 5.3-7.2 Ma old and therefore not related to the Eltanin asteroid impact.

Geological evidence for the Eltanin tsunami from other sites range from boulder deposits and associated bonebeds of marine fauna, to coarse bioclastic sands, soft sediment deformation features, rip-up clasts, unconformable contacts and deeply channelled erosional features (Goff et al., 2012). This evidence generally mirrors that used to positively identify modern tsunami deposits, but of particular note are the unusual bonebed deposits noted by Gersonde et al. (1997) from Peru and Chile. These bonebeds contain the skeletons of rorqual whales, sperm whales, seals, aquatic sloths, walrus-whales, predatory bony fish and many other species (Pyenson et al., 2014). The end of the Pliocene was marked by an extinction event among marine megafauna (mammals, seabirds, turtles and sharks) with 36% of Pliocene genera disappearing including apex predators such as *Carcharocles megalodon* (the megashark) the largest shark that ever lived (Pimienta and Clements, 2014; Pimienta et al., 2017). It also marks the last appearance date of numerous marine microfossils (Berger, 2011). This prominent die-off of marine species may well be linked to severe acoustic trauma or shock waves associated with a deep ocean asteroid impact (e.g. Ketten, 1995). The contemporaneous combination of bonebeds and sedimentary evidence make a compelling case for an Eltanin tsunami that was larger than current modelling scenarios suggest.

Like Chicxulub, the tsunami generation process of the Eltanin impact is still under discussion and more research, particularly with respect to combined bonebed-possible tsunami deposit sequences, should be carried out in the future.

Hazard assessment

Assessing hazards related to mega-tsunamis generated by volcano flank collapse or asteroid impact amounts to a reconciliation between the human and geological perspectives. Considering the low frequency of such events and the high cost of monitoring, one could conclude that the implementation of any form of prevention strategy is not cost-effective. However, whether or not this is the case, it is the role of geologists to improve society's awareness of such extreme hazards.

Addressing mega-tsunami hazards related to volcano flank instability could start with an adequate monitoring strategy and a regional collaboration between both the volcano observatories and the tsunami warning systems. The monitoring of active volcanoes such as Kilauea in Hawaii and the Piton de la Fournaise in Reunion Island now offers the possibility to detect small flank displacements (e.g. using GNSS and InSAR), but the scientific community lacks milestones to anticipate large-scale flank collapses of ocean islands. The major eruption of Piton de la Fournaise volcano in April 2007 was associated with a large (up to 1.4 m horizontally) seaward motion of its eastern flank along a detachment fault (Froger et al., 2015). Although no collapse occurred, it illustrates the relevancy of InSAR for an early detection of ground motion on volcanoes. Earth observation programs such as Copernicus now give access to worldwide data from satellites, including radar imaging that can be rapidly post-processed to produce interferograms. The second step is the definition of scenarios to be used in probabilistic tsunami hazard assessment (PTHA) and Tsunami Early Warning Systems (TEWS). Given the uncertainty of the collapse mechanisms, numerical models could yield unrealistic results and any conclusions concerning hazard assessment should be viewed with caution.

Similar uncertainties weigh on the assessment of tsunamis generated by asteroid impacts. For instance, Ward and Asphaug (2003) assumed an oceanic impact of a 1950DA asteroid with 1.1 km diameter and found that the east coast of the United States would be inundated by a tsunami over 100 m high if it struck the Atlantic Ocean. Wünnemann et al. (2007) performed

numerical modelling of the tsunami generation process from an small asteroid impact, which predicted that the rim wave decays quickly so that it can't be treated as a long wave. Gisler et al. (2011) suggested that even a relatively large asteroid with <500 m diameter hit the ocean at a depth of <5 km depth, tsunamis would quickly dissipate and around adjacent shorelines the wave height would be smaller than the 2004 Indian Ocean tsunami. These results suggest that large tsunamis with long wavelengths may not be generated when the impact site is deep and/or the impactor is small. Also, if the impact site is shallow and a high crater rim is formed, then large tsunamis may not be generated as well. Future investigations of the most effective conditions for maximizing the size of impact tsunamis need to be carried out (Goto et al., 2013).

For hazard assessment, probabilistic analysis with information on potential impact size and frequency is important (Ward and Asphaug, 2000). According to Chapman and Morrison (1994), the recurrence interval over which an asteroid with a 100 m diameter hits the Earth is about 10^3 - 10^4 years and with a 1000 m diameter it is about 10^5 - 10^6 years. While an asteroid with a diameter of a few hundred meters may break up in the atmosphere so that the impact frequency of small asteroids may well be lower, this effect is less likely for large asteroids (Bland and Artemieva, 2003, 2006). Bland and Artemieva (2006) estimated that an asteroid with a 200 m diameter, which may generate a hazardous tsunami, will hit the Earth's surface every $\sim 10^5$ years, which is a far lower frequency than Ward and Asphaug (2000)'s estimated (3000-4000 year interval for a 220 m diameter impactor).

Goto et al. (2013) carried out a preliminary evaluation of the tsunami hazard for the Japanese coast from the impact of a 500 m diameter asteroid hitting 5000-m-deep ocean. In this case, the impact tsunami was characterized by short wavelengths with high amplitudes. The amplitude reached nearly 80 m in the deep ocean, decaying quickly to only a few meters when it reached 50 m water depth. They proposed that the tsunami broke on the continental shelf far away from the shoreline so that it was considerably attenuated prior to striking the coast. Importance of wave breaking is known as the "Van Dorn effect" (Melosh, 2003) and is important for tsunami hazard assessment of coastal communities.

Conclusions: the future hazard of mega-tsunamis

There is a risk of mega-tsunamis generated by ocean island flank collapses or asteroid impacts in the future. Although they occur with a very low frequency compared to earthquake-induced tsunamis, they can potentially be a significantly large hazard. It is extremely difficult to assess and mitigate the risks associated with such high-magnitude but low-frequency hazards. Mega-tsunamis are treated as geophysical curiosities rather than true threats, probably because their recurrence interval far surpasses that of political and economic development strategies. However, both the 2004 Indian Ocean and 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquakes raised awareness of global disasters and their associated cascading risks. In this context, addressing future mega-tsunami hazards requires international collaboration.

To end on a positive note, García-Olivares et al. (2017) used a combination of geological and mtDNA data to demonstrate that ocean island flank collapses may be drivers of island colonization, and subsequent lineages of diversification. Extreme geophysical events can thus have positive biotic consequences that balance out their catastrophic repercussions.

References

- Abadie, S., Harris, J.C., Grilli, S., Fabre, R., 2012. Numerical modeling of tsunami waves generated by the flank collapse of the Cumbre Vieja Volcano (La Palma, Canary Islands): Tsunami source and near field effects. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 117, C05030.
- Alvarez, L. W., Alvarez, W., Asaro, F., Michel, H. V., 1980. Extraterrestrial cause for the Cretaceous-Tertiary extinction. *Science* 208, 1095–1108.
- Alvarez, W., Smit, J., Lowrie, W., Asaro, F., Margolis, S. V., Claeys, P., Kastner, M., Hildebrand, A., 1992. Proximal impact deposits at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in the Gulf of Mexico: A restudy of DSDP, leg 77 sites 536 and 540. *Geology* 20, 697–700.
- Ancochea, E., Huertas, M.J., Cantagrel, J.M., Coello, J., Fúster, J.M., Arnaud, N., Ibarolla, E., 1999. Evolution of the Cañadas edifice and its implications for the origin of the Las Cañadas Caldera (Tenerife, Canary Islands). *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 88, 177-199.

594 Andrade, C., Borges, P., Freitas, M.C., 2006. Historical tsunami in the Azores archipelago
595 (Portugal). *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 156, 172-185.

596 Atwater, B. F., 1987. Evidence for great Holocene earthquakes along the outer coast of
597 Washington State. *Science* 236, 942-944.

598 Bahlburg, H., Weiss, R., Wünnemann, K., 2010. Low energy deposition in the Chicxulub
599 crater during the impact to post-impact transition. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 295, 170–176.

600 Berger, W.H., 2011. Geologist at sea: aspects of ocean history. *Ann. Rev. Mar. Sci.* 3, 1–34.

601 Blahút, J., Balek, J. Klimeš, J., Rowberry, M., Kusák, M., Kalina, J., 2019. A comprehensive
602 global database of giant landslides on volcanic islands. *Landslides* 16, 2045-2052.

603 Bland, P. A., Artemieva, N. A., 2003. Efficient disruption of small asteroids by Earth's
604 atmosphere. *Nature*, 424, 288–291.

605 Bland, P. A., Artemieva, N. A., 2006. The rate of small impacts on Earth. *Meteorit. Planet.*
606 *Sci.* 41, 607–631.

607 Bohor, B. F., 1996. A sedimentary gravity flow hypothesis for siliciclastic units at the K/T
608 boundary, northeastern Mexico. In Ryder, G., Fastovsky, D., Gartner, S. (eds.),
609 Cretaceous-Tertiary Event and Other Catastrophes in Earth History. *Geol. Soc. Am.*
610 *Spec. Pap.* 307, pp. 183–195.

611 Bondevik, S., Gjevik, B., Sorensen, M., 2013. Norwegian seiches from the giant 2011 Tohoku
612 earthquake. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 40, 3374–3378.

613 Bourgeois, J., Hansen, T.A., Wiberg, P.L., Kauffman, E.G., 1988. A tsunami deposit at the
614 Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in Texas. *Science* 241, 567-570.

615 Bralower, T. J., Paul, C. K., Leckie, R. M., 1998. The Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary cocktail:
616 Chicxulub impact triggers margin collapse and extensive sedimentary gravity flows.
617 *Geology* 26, 331–334.

618 Carracedo, J.C., Day, S., Guillou, H., Pérez-Torrado, F.J., 1999. Giant Quaternary landslides
619 in the evolution of La Palma and El Hierro, Canary Islands. *Journal of Volcanology and*
620 *Geothermal Research* 94, 169-190.

621 Chapman, C., Morrison, D., 1994. Impacts on the Earth by asteroids and comets: assessing the
622 hazard. *Nature* 367, 33–40.

623 Cita, M. B., Camerlenghi, A., Rimoldi, B., 1996. Deep-sea tsunami deposits in the eastern
624 Mediterranean: new evidence and depositional models. *Sedimentary Geology* 104, 155-
625 173.

626 Clément, J.P., Legendre, C., Caroff, M., Guillou, H., Cotten, J., Bollinger, C., Guille, G.,
627 2002. Epiclastic deposits and ‘horseshoe-shaped’ calderas in Tahiti (Society Islands) and
628 Ua Huka (Marquesas Archipelago), French Polynesia. *Journal of Volcanology and*
629 *Geothermal Research* 120, 87-101.

630 Clouard, V., Bonneville, A., Gillot, P.Y., 2001. A giant landslide on the southern flank of Tahiti
631 Island, French Polynesia. *Geophysical Research Letters* 28, 2253-2256.

632 Cooke, R.J.S., 1981. Eruptive history of the volcano at Ritter Island. *Geological Survey of*
633 *Papua New Guinea Memoir* 10, 115-123.

634 Cornu, M.N., Paris, R., Doucelance, R., Bachélery, P., Guillou, H., 2017. Exploring the links
635 between volcano flank collapse and magma evolution: Fogo oceanic shield volcano,
636 Cape Verde. *Geophysical Research Abstracts* 13, EGU2017-4875-2.

637 Costa, A.C.G., Hildenbrand, A., Marques, F.O., Sibrant, A.L.R., Santos de Campos, A., 2015.
638 Catastrophic flank collapses and slumping in Pico Island during the last 130 kyr (Pico-
639 Faial ridge, Azores Triple Junction). *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*
640 302, 33-46.

641 Costard, F., Séjourné, A., Kelfoun, K., Clifford, S., Lavigne, F., Di Pxietro, I., Bouley, S.,
642 2017. Modeling tsunami propagation and the emplacement of thumbprint terrain in an
643 early Mars ocean. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* 122, 633–649.

644 Costard, F., Séjourné, A., Lagain, A., Ormö, J., Rodriguez, J. A. P., Clifford, S., Bouley, S.,
645 Kelfoun, K., Lavigne, F., 2019. The Lomonosov crater impact event: A possible mega-
646 tsunami source on Mars. *J. Geophys. Res. Planets* 124, 1840–1851.

647 Crook, K.A.W., Felton, E.A., 2008. Sedimentology of rocky shorelines: 5. The marine
648 samples at +326m from ‘Stearns swale’ (Lanai, Hawaii) and their paleo-environmental
649 and sedimentary process implications. *Sedimentary Geology* 206, 33-41.

650 Dawson, A. Long, D., Smith, D. E., 1988. The Storegga Slides: Evidence from eastern
651 Scotland for a possible tsunami. *Mar. Geol.* 82, 271–276.

652 Day, S.J., Heleno da Silva, S.I.N., Fonseca, J.F.B.D., 1999. A past giant lateral collapse and
653 present-day flank instability of Fogo, Cape Verde Islands. *Journal of Volcanology and*
654 *Geothermal Research* 99, 191-218.

655 DePalma, R.A., Smit, J., Burnham, D.A., Kuiper, K., Manning, P.L., Oleinik, A., Larson, P.,
656 Maurrasse, F., Vellekoop, J., Richards, M.A., Gurche, L., Alvarez, W., 2019. A
657 seismically induced onshore surge deposit at the KPg boundary, North Dakota.
658 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 116, 8190-8199.

659 Dypvik, H., Jansa, L., 2003. Sedimentary signature and processes during marine bolide
660 impacts: a review. *Sedimentary Geology* 161, 30-337.

661 Edgar, C.J., Wolff, J.A., Olin, P.H., Nichols, H.J., Pittari, A., Cas, R.A.F., Reiners, P.W., Spell,
662 T.L., Martí, J., 2007. The late Quaternary Diego Hernandez Formation, Tenerife:
663 volcanology of a complex cycle of voluminous explosive phonolitic eruptions. *Journal*
664 *Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 160, 59-85.

665 Felton, E.A., Crook, K.A.W., Keating, B.H., Kay, E.A., 2006. Sedimentology of rocky
666 shorelines: 4. Coarse gravel lithofacies, molluscan biofacies, and the stratigraphic and
667 eustatic records in the type area of the Pleistocene Hulopoe Gravel, Lanai, Hawaii.
668 *Sedimentary Geology* 184, 1-76.

669 Foeken, J.P.T., Day, S.J., Stuart, F.M., 2009. Cosmogenic ^3He exposure dating of the
670 Quaternary basalts from Fogo, Cape Verdes: Implications for rift-zone and magmatic
671 reorganisation. *Quaternary Geochronology* 4 (1), 37-49.

672 Fritz, H., Hager, W., Minor, H., 2004. Near Field Characteristics of Landslide Generated
673 Impulse Waves. *Journal of Waterway Port Coastal and Ocean Engineering* 130, 287-
674 302.

675 Frohlich, C., Hornbach, M.J., Taylor, F.W., Shen C-C., Moala, A., Morton, A.E., Kruger, J.,
676 2009. Huge erratic boulders in Tonga deposited by a prehistoric tsunami. *Geology* 37,
677 131-134.

678 Franco, A., Moernaut, J., Schneider-Muntau, B., Aufleger, M., Strasser, M., Gems B., 2020.
679 Lituya Bay 1958 Tsunami – detailed pre-event bathymetry reconstruction and 3D-
680 numerical modelling utilizing the CFD software Flow-3D. *Natural Hazards and Earth*
681 *System Sciences*, 20, 2255-2279.

682 Froger, J.L., Famin, V., Cayol, V., Augier, A., Michon, L., Lénat, J.F., 2015. Time-dependent
683 displacements during and after the April 2007 eruption of Piton de la Fournaise,
684 revealed by interferometric data. *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 296,
685 55-68.

686 García-Olivares, V., López, H., Patiño, J., Alvarez, N., Machado, A., Carracedo, J.C., Soler,
687 V., Emerson, B.C., 2017. Evidence for mega-landslides as drivers of island colonization.
688 *Journal of Biogeography* 44, 1053-1064.

689 Gersonde, R., Kyte, F.T., Bleil, U., Diekmann, B., Flores, J.A., Gohl, K., Grahl, G., Hagen,
690 R., Kuhn, G., Sierro, F.J., Volker, D., Abelman, A., Bostwick, J.A., 1997. Geological
691 record and reconstruction of the late Pliocene impact of the Eltanin asteroid in the
692 southern ocean. *Nature* 390, 357-363.

693 Giachetti, T., Paris, R., Kelfoun, K., 2011. Numerical modelling of the tsunami triggered by
694 the Güïmar debris avalanche, Tenerife (Canary Islands): comparison with field-based
695 data. *Marine Geology* 284, 189-202.

696 Giachetti, T., Paris, R., Kelfoun, K., Ontowirjo, B., 2012. Tsunami hazard related to a flank
697 collapse of Anak Krakatau volcano, Sunda Strait, Indonesia. *Geological Society,*
698 *London, Special Publication* 361, 79-89.

699 Gisler, G., Weaver, R., Gittings, M., 2011. Calculations of asteroid impacts into deep and
700 shallow water. *Pure Appl. Geophys.* 168, 1187–1198.

701 Goff, J., Chagué-Goff, C., Archer, M., Dominey-Howes, D., Turney, C., 2012. The Eltanin
702 asteroid impact: possible South Pacific palaeomegatsunami footprint and potential
703 implications for the Pliocene-Pleistocene transition. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 27,
704 660-670.

705 Goff, J., Terry, J., Chagué-Goff, C. and Goto, K. (2014) What is a megatsunami? *Marine*
706 *Geology*, 358, 12-17.

707 Goto, K., Tada, R., Tajika, E., Bralower, T. J., Hasegawa, T., Matsui, T., 2004. Evidence for
708 ocean water invasion into the Chicxulub crater at the Cretaceous/Tertiary boundary.
709 Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 39, 1233–1247.

710 Goto, K., Tada, R., Tajika, E., Matsui, T., Yamamoto, S., Nakano, Y., Oji, T., Kiyokawa, S.,
711 Gracia-Delgado, D. E., Otero, C. D., Consuegra, R.R., 2008. Lateral lithological
712 compositional variations of the Cretaceous/Tertiary deep-sea tsunami deposits in
713 northwestern Cuba. Cretaceous Research 29, 217-236.

714 Goto, K., Kawana, T., Imamura, F., 2010. Historical and geological evidence of boulders
715 deposited by tsunamis, southern Ryukyu Islands, Japan. Earth-Science Reviews 102,
716 77-99.

717 Goto, K., Iijima, Y., Wada, K., Imamura, F., Chang, Y., 2013. Tsunami risk assessment for the
718 oceanic impact on Earth. Planetary People, Jpn. Soc. Planet. Sci. 22, 207–213 (in
719 Japanese).

720 Gouhier, M., Paris, R., 2019. SO₂ and tephra emissions during the December 22, 2018 Anak
721 Krakatau flank-collapse eruption. Volcanica 2(2), 91-103.

722 Grigg, R.W., Jones, A.T., 1997. Uplift caused by lithospheric flexure in the Hawaiian
723 archipelago, as revealed by elevated coral deposits. Marine Geology 141, 11-25.

724 Grilli, S. T., Watts, P., 2005. Tsunami generation by submarine mass failure. I: Modeling,
725 Experimental Validation, and Sensitivity Analyses. Journal of Waterway, Port, Coastal,
726 and Ocean Engineering 131, 283–297.

727 Grilli, S.T., Tappin, D.R., Carey, S., Watt, S.F.L., Ward, S.N., Grilli, A.R., Engwell, S.L.,
728 Zhang, C., Kirby, J.T., Schambach, L., Muin, M., 2019. Modelling of the tsunami from
729 the December 22, 2018 lateral collapse of Anak Krakatau volcano in the Sunda Straits,
730 Indonesia. Scientific Reports (2019) 9, 11946.

731 Gulick, S. P., Barton, P., Christeson, G., Morgan, J. V., McDonald, M., Mendoza-Cervantes,
732 K., Pearson, Z. F., Surendra, A., Urrutia-Fucugauchi, J., Vermeesch, P. M., Warner, M.
733 R., 2008. Importance of pre-impact crustal structure for the asymmetry of the Chicxulub
734 impact crater. Nat. Geosci. 1, 131–135.

735 Gulick, S.P.S., Bralower, T. J., Ormo, J., Hall, B., Grice, K., Schaefer, B., Lyons, S., Freeman,
 736 K. H., Morgan, J. V., Artemieva, N., Kaskes, P., de Graaff, S. J., Whalen, M. T., Collins,
 737 G. S., Tikoo, S. M., Verhagen, C., Christeson, G. L., Claeys, P., Coolen, M. J. L.,
 738 Goderis, S., Goto, K., Grieve, R., McCall, N., Osinski, G. R., Rae, A., Riller, U., Smit,
 739 J., Vajda, V., Wittmann, A., Expedition 364 Scientists, 2019. The First Day of the
 740 Cenozoic. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 116, 19342–19351.

741 Hassler, S.W., Simonson, B.M., 2001. The sedimentary record of extraterrestrial impacts in
 742 deep-shelf environments: evidence from the Early Precambrian. *The Journal of Geology*
 743 109, 1-19.

744 Heidarzadeh, M., Satake, K., 2015. Source properties of the 1998 July 17 Papua New Guinea
 745 tsunami based on tide gauge records. *Geophysical Journal International* 202, 361-369.

746 Heidarzadeh, M., Satake, K., 2017. A Combined Earthquake–Landslide Source Model for the
 747 Tsunami from the 27 November 1945 Mw 8.1 Makran Earthquake. *Bulletin of the*
 748 *Seismological Society of America* 107, 1033-1040.

749 Hildebrand, A. R., Boynton, W. V., 1990. Proximal Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary impact
 750 deposits in the Caribbean. *Science* 248, 843–847.

751 Hildebrand, A. R., Penfield, G. T., Icring, D. A., Pilkington, M., Camargo, N., Jacobsen, S. B.,
 752 Boynton, W. V., 1991. Chicxulub crater: A possible Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary
 753 impact crater on the Yucatàn Peninsula, Mexico. *Geology* 19, 867–871.

754 Hildenbrand, A., Gillot, P.Y., Bonneville, A., 2006. Offshore evidence for a huge landslide of
 755 the northern flank of Tahiti-Nui (French Polynesia). *Geochemistry, Geophysics,*
 756 *Geosystems* 7 (6), Q03006.

757 Hunt, J.E., Wynn, R.B., Masson, D.G., Talling, J., Teagle, D.A.H., 2011. Sedimentological
 758 and geochemical evidence for multi-stage failure of volcanic island landslides: a case-
 759 study from Icod landslide on north Tenerife, Canary Islands. *Geochemistry, Geophysics,*
 760 *Geosystems* 12, Q12007.

761 Hunt, J.E., Wynn, R.B., Talling, J., Masson, D.G., 2013. Multistage collapse of eight western
 762 Canary Island landslides in the last 1.5 Ma: Sedimentological and geochemical evidence
 763 from subunits in submarine flow deposits. *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems* 14,
 764 2159-2181.

765 Hunt, J.E., Cassidy, M., Talling, P.J., 2018. Multi-stage volcanic island flank collapses with
766 coeval explosive caldera-forming eruptions. *Scientific Reports* (2018) 8, 1146.

767 Iijima, Y., Goto, K., Minoura, K., Komatsu, G., Imamura, F., 2014. Hydrodynamics of impact-
768 induced tsunami over the Martian ocean. *Planet. Space Sci.* 95, 33–44.

769 Ikehara, K., Irino, T., Usami, K., Jenkins, R., Omura, A., Ashi, J., 2014. Possible submarine
770 tsunami deposits on the outer shelf of Sendai Bay, Japan resulting from the 2011
771 earthquake and tsunami off the Pacific coast of Tohoku. *Mar. Geol.* 358, 120–127.

772 Imamura, F., Matsumoto, T., 1998. Field survey of the 1741 Oshima - Oshima tsunami (in
773 Japanese). *Rep. Tsunami Eng. Res. Tohoku University*, 15, 85–105.

774 Imamura, F., Hashi, K., Imteaz, M. A., 2001. Modeling for tsunamis generated by landsliding
775 and debris flow. In: Hebenstreit G.T. (eds) *Tsunami Research at the End of a Critical*
776 *Decade. Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards Research* 18. Springer,
777 Dordrecht, 209–228.

778 Ioki, K., Tanioka, Y., Yanagisawa, H., Kawakami, G., 2019. Numerical simulation of the
779 landslide and tsunami due to the 1741 Oshima - Oshima eruption in Hokkaido, Japan.
780 *Journal of Geophysical Research: Solid Earth* 124, 1991–2002.

781 Iwai, S., Goto, K., Ishizawa, T., 2019. A gigantic boulder transported by the 2011 Tohoku-oki
782 tsunami. *Island Arc* 28, e12321.

783 Jansa, L. F., 1993. Cometary impacts into ocean –Their recognition and the threshold
784 constraint for biological extinctions. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 104, 271–
785 286.

786 Johnson, R.W., 1987. Large-scale volcanic cone collapse: the 1888 slope failure of Ritter
787 volcano. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 49, 669-679.

788 Kastens, K. A., Cita, M. B., 1981. Tsunami-induced sediment transport in the abyssal
789 Mediterranean Sea. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 92, 845-857.

790 Kawamata, K., Takaoka, K., Ban, K., Imamura, F., Yamaki, S., Kobayashi, E., 2005. Model of
791 tsunami generation by collapse of volcanic eruption: The 1741 Oshima - Oshima

792 tsunami. In: Satake K. (eds) Tsunamis. Advances in Natural and Technological Hazards
793 Research 23, pp. 79–96 Springer, Dordrecht.

794 Kawata, Y., 2003, Risk management –Toward safety society. In Disaster Prevention 4 (DPRI
795 eds.). 42-44.

796 Kelfoun, K., Giachetti, T., Labazuy, P., 2010. Landslide-generated tsunamis at Reunion Island.
797 Journal of Geophysical Research 115, F04012.

798 Ketten, D.R., 1995. Estimates of blast injury and acoustic trauma zones for marine mammals
799 from underwater explosions. Sensory Sys. Aquatic Mam., 391–407.

800 Kiyokawa, S., Tada, R., Iturralde-Vinent, M. A., Tajika, E., Yamamoto, S., Oji, T., Nakano, Y.,
801 Goto, K., Takayama, H., Delgado, D. G., Otero, C. D., Rojas-Consuegra, R., 2002.
802 Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary sequence in the Cacarajicara Formation, western Cuba:
803 An impact related, high-energy, gravity-flow deposit. In Koeberl, C., Macleod, G.,
804 (eds.) Catastrophic Events and Mass Extinctions: Impact and Beyond, Geol. Soc. Amer.
805 Spec. Pap. 356, pp. 125–144.

806 Korycansky, D.G., Lynett, P.J., 2005. Offshore breaking of impact tsunami: the Van Dorn
807 effect revisited. Geophysical Research Letters 32, L10608.

808 Kyte, F. T., Zhou, L., Wasson, J. T., 1988. New evidence on the size and possible effects of a
809 late Pliocene oceanic impact. Science 241, 63–65.

810 Labazuy, P., 1996. Recurrent landsliding events on the submarine flanks of Piton de la
811 Fournaise volcano (Reunion Island). In: McGuire, W.J., Jones, A.P., Neuberg, J. (Eds.),
812 Volcano instability on the Earth and other planets. Geological Society, London, Special
813 Publications 110, 293-306.

814 Lavigne, F., Paris, R., Grancher, D., Wassmer, P., Brunstein, D., Vautier, F., Leone, F.,
815 Flohic, F., De Coster, B., Gunawan, T., Gomez, Ch., Setiawan, A., Cahyadi, R.,
816 Fachrizal, 2009. Reconstruction of tsunami inland propagation on December 26, 2004 in
817 Banda Aceh, Indonesia, through field investigations. Pure and Applied Geophysics 166,
818 259-281.

819 Lekkas, E.L., Andreadakis, E., Kostaki, I., Kapourani, E., 2013. A proposal for a new
820 integrated tsunami intensity scale (IT IS-2012). Bulletin of the Seismological Society of
821 America 103, 1493-1502.

822 Le Roux, J.P., 2015. A critical examination of evidence used to re-interpret the Hornitos
823 mega-breccia as a mass-flow deposit caused by cliff failure. *Andean Geol.* 42, 139–145.

824 Løvholt, F., Pedersen, G., Gisler, G., 2008. Oceanic propagation of a potential tsunami from
825 the La Palma Island. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 113, C09026.

826 Løvholt, F., Pedersen, G., Harbitz, C.B., Glimsdal, S., Kim, J., 2015. On the characteristics of
827 landslide tsunamis. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A* 373, 20140376.

828 Ma, G., Kirby, J. T., Hsu, T., Shi, F., 2015. A two-layer granular landslide model for tsunami
829 wave generation: Theory and computation. *Ocean Modelling* 93, 40-55.

830 Madeira, J., Ferrer Gijón, M., Gonzalez de Vallejo, L.I., Andrade, C., Freitas, M., Lomoschitz,
831 A., Hoffman, D.L., 2011. Agaete revisited: new data on the Gran Canaria tsunamiites.
832 *Geophysical Research Abstracts* 13, EGU2011-2292-2.

833 Madeira, J., Ramalho, R., Hoffman, D.L., Mata, J., Moreira, M., 2020. A geological record of
834 recurrent Pleistocene tsunami inundation in an oceanic island: the case of Maio, Cape
835 Verde. *Sedimentology*, 67, 1529-1552.

836 Mader, C. L., 2002. Modeling the 1958 Lituya Bay mega tsunami, II. *Science of Tsunami*
837 *Hazards* 20, 241-250.

838 Martí, J., Mitjavila, J., Araña, V., 1994. Stratigraphy, structure and geochronology of the Las
839 Cañadas caldera, Tenerife, Canary Islands. *Geological Magazine* 131, 715-727.

840 Masson, D.G., Watts, A.B., Gee, M.R.J., Urgeles, R., Mitchell, N.C., Le Bas, T.P. Canals, M.,
841 2002. Slope failures on the flanks of the western Canary Islands. *Earth-Science Reviews*
842 57, 1–35.

843 Masson, D.G., Le Bas, T.P., Grevemeyer, I., Weinrebe, W., 2008. Flank collapse and large-
844 scale landsliding in the Cape Verde Islands, off West Africa. *Geochemistry, Geophysics,*
845 *Geosystems* 9, Q07015.

846 Matsui, T., Imamura, F., Tajika, E., Nakano, Y., Fujisawa, Y., 2002. Generation and
847 propagation of a tsunami from the Cretaceous/Tertiary impact event. In Koeberl, C.,
848 Macleod, G., (eds.) *Catastrophic Events and Mass Extinctions: Impact and Beyond*,
849 *Geol. Soc. Amer. Spec. Pap.* 356, pp. 69–77.

850 Maurrasse, F. J. M. R., Sen, G., 1991. Impacts, tsunamis, and the Haitian Cretaceous-Tertiary
851 boundary layer. *Science* 252, 1690–1693.

852 McHugh, C. M., Kanamatsu, T., Seeber, L., Bopp, R., Cormier, M-H., Usami, K., 2016.
853 Remobilization of surficial slope sediment triggered by the A.D. 2011 Mw9 Tohoku-Oki
854 earthquake and tsunami along the Japan Trench. *Geology* 44, 391–394.

855 McMurtry, G.M., Fryer, G.J., Tappin, D.R., Wilkinson, I.P., Williams, M., Fietzke, J., Garbe-
856 Schoenberg, D., Watts, P., 2004. Megatsunami deposits on Kohala volcano, Hawaii,
857 from flank, collapse of Mauna Loa. *Geology* 32 (9), 741-744.

858 Meco, J., Scailet, S., Guillou, H., Lomoschitz, A., Carracedo, J.C., Ballester, J., Betancort,
859 J.F., Cilleros, A., 2007. Evidence for long-term uplift on the Canary Islands from
860 emergent Mio-Pliocene littoral deposits. *Global and Planetary Change* 57, 222-234.

861 Meco, J. (Ed.), 2008. Historia geológica del clima en Canarias. Monografía, Universidad Las
862 Palmas de Gran Canaria, Spain, 296 pp.

863 Melosh, J., 2003. Impact-generated tsunamis: An over-rated hazard. *Lunar and Planetary*
864 *Science XXXIV*. Abstract, #2013.

865 Miller, D.J., 1960. Giant waves in Lituya Bay, Alaska. Geological Survey Professional Paper
866 354-C, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C.

867 Minoura, K., Nakaya, S., Sato, H., 1987. Traces of tsunamis recorded in lake deposits: An
868 example from Jusan, Shiura-mura, Aomori. *Zisin (J. Seismol. Soc. Jpn. 2nd ser.)* 40,
869 183–196.

870 Mitchell, N.C., Quartau, R., Madeira, J., 2011. Assessing landslide movements in volcanic
871 islands using near-shore marine geophysical data: south Pico island, Azores. *Bulletin of*
872 *Volcanology* 74, 483-496.

873 Moore, J.G., Fornari, D.J., 1984. Drowned reefs as indicators of the rate of subsidence of the
874 island of Hawaii. *Journal of Geology* 92, 753-759.

875 Moore J.G., Moore G.W., 1984. Deposit from a giant wave on the island of Lanai, Hawaii.
876 *Science* 226, 1312-1315.

877 Moore, J.G., Clague, D.A., Holcomb, R.T., Lipman, P.W., Normark, W.R., Torresan, M.E.,
878 1989. Prodigious submarine landslides on the Hawaiian Ridge. *Journal of Geophysical*
879 *Research* 94, 17465–17484.

880 Moore, J.G., Bryan, W.B., Ludwig, K.R., 1994. Chaotic deposition by a giant wave, Molokai,
881 Hawaii. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 106, 962-967.

882 Mori, N., Takahashi, T., 2012. The 2011 Tohoku earthquake tsunami joint survey group, 2012.
883 Nationwide post event tsunami survey and analysis of the 2011 Tohoku earthquake
884 tsunami. *Coastal Engineering Journal* 54, 27.

885 Muhari, A., Heidarzadeh, M., Susmoro, H., Nugroho, H.D., Kriswati, E., Supartoyo,
886 Wijanarto, A.B., Imamura, F., Arikawa, T., 2019. The December 2018 Anak Krakatau
887 volcano tsunami as inferred from post-tsunami field surveys and spectral analysis. *Pure*
888 *and Applied Geophysics* 176, 5219-5233.

889 Muhari, A., Imamura, F., Arikawa, T., Hakim, A., Afriyanto, B., 2018. Solving the Puzzle of
890 the September 2018 Palu, Indonesia, Tsunami Mystery: Clues from the Tsunami
891 Waveform and the Initial Field Survey Data. *Journal of Disaster Research* 13,
892 sc20181108.

893 Noda, A., Katayama, H., Sagayama, T., Suga, K., Uchida, Y., Satake, K., Abe, K., Okamura,
894 Y., 2007. Evaluation of tsunami impacts on shallow marine sediments: an example from
895 the tsunami caused by the 2003 Tokachi-oki earthquake, northern Japan. *Sedimentary*
896 *Geology* 200, 314–327.

897 Normark, W.R, Moore, J.G., Torresan, M.E., 1993. Giant volcano–related landslides and the
898 development of the Hawaiian Islands. In: Schwab, W.C., Lee, H. J., Twichell, D.C.
899 (Eds.), *Submarine Landslides: Selected Studies in the U.S. Exclusive Economic Zone*.
900 US Geological Survey Bulletin 2002, 184–196.

901 Nozaki, T., Ohta, J., Noguchi, T., Sato, H., Ishikawa, A., Takaya, Y., Kimura, J., Chang, Q.,
902 Shimada, K., Ishibashi, J., Yasukawa, K., Kimoto, K., Iijima, K., Kato, Y., 2019. A
903 Miocene impact ejecta layer in the pelagic Pacific Ocean. *Scientific Reports* 9, 16111.

904 Oehler, J.-F., Labazuy, P., Lénat, J.F., 2004. Recurrence of major flank landslides during the
905 last 2–Ma–history of Réunion Island. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 66, 585–598.

906 Okamura, Y., Nishizawa, A., Fujii, Y., Yanagisawa, H., 2018. Accretionary prism collapse: a
907 new hypothesis on the source of the 1771 giant tsunami in the Ryukyu Arc, SW Japan.
908 Scientific Reports 8, 13620.

909 Ormö, J., Lindström, M., 2000. When a cosmic impact strikes the sea bed. Geological
910 Magazine 137, 67-80.

911 Ormö, J., Dohm, J. M., Ferris, J. C., Lepinette, A., Fairén, A. G., 2004. Marine-target craters
912 on Mars? An assessment study. Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 39, 333–346.

913 Paris, R., Wassmer, P., Sartohadi, J., Lavigne, F., Barthomeuf, B., Desgages, É., Grancher, D.,
914 Baumert, Ph., Vautier, F., Brunstein, D. , Gomez, Ch., 2009. Tsunamis as geomorphic
915 crisis: lessons from the December 26, 2004 tsunami in Lhok Nga, west Banda Aceh
916 (Sumatra, Indonesia). Geomorphology 104, 59-72.

917 Paris, R., Giachetti, T., Chevalier, J., Guillou, H., Frank, N., 2011. Tsunami deposits in
918 Santiago Island (Cape Verde archipelago) as possible evidence of a massive flank
919 failure of Fogo volcano. Sedimentary Geology 239, 129-145.

920 Paris, R., Kelfoun, K., Giachetti, T., 2013. Marine conglomerate and reef megaclasts at
921 Mauritius Island (Indian Ocean): evidences of a tsunami generated by a flank collapse
922 of Piton de la Fournaise volcano, Réunion Island? Science of Tsunami Hazards 32, 281-
923 291.

924 Paris, R., Coello Bravo, J.J., Martín González, M.E., Kelfoun, K., Nauret, F., 2017. Explosive
925 eruption, flank collapse and mega-tsunami at Tenerife ca. 170 ky ago. Nature
926 Communications 8, 15246.

927 Paris, R., Ramalho, R.S., Madeira, J., Ávila, S., May, S.M., Rixhon, G., Engel, M., Brückner,
928 H., Herzog, M., Schukraft, G., Perez-Torrado, F.J., Rodriguez-Gonzales, A., Carracedo,
929 J.C., Giachetti, T., 2018. Mega-tsunami conglomerates and flank collapses of ocean
930 island volcanoes. Marine Geology, 395, 168-187.

931 Paris, A., Heinrich, P., Paris, R., Abadie, S., 2019. The December 22, 2018 Anak Krakatau,
932 Indonesia, landslide and tsunami: preliminary modeling and results. Pure and Applied
933 Geophysics 177, 571-590.

934 Parker, T. J., Gorsline, D. S., Saunders, R. S., Pieri, D. C., Schneeberger, D. M., 1993. Coastal
935 geomorphology of the Martian northern plains. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 98,
936 11061–11078.

937 Perez-Torrado, F.J., Paris, R., Cabrera, M.C., Schneider, J.L., Wassmer, P., Carracedo, J.C.,
938 Rodriguez Santana, A., Santana, F., 2006. The Agaete tsunami deposits (Gran Canaria):
939 evidence of tsunamis related to flank collapses in the Canary Islands. *Marine Geology*
940 227 (1-2), 137-149.

941 Pimiento, C., Clements, C.F., 2014. When did *Carcharocles megalodon* become extinct? A
942 new analysis of the fossil record. *PLoS One* 9, e111086.

943 Pimiento, C., Griffin, J.N., Clements, C.F., Silvestro, D., Varela, S., Uhen, M.D., Jaramillo,
944 C., 2017. The Pliocene marine megafauna extinction and its impact on functional
945 diversity. *Nature Eco. Evo.* 1, 1100.

946 Pyenson, N.D., Gutstein, C.S., Parham, J.F., Le Roux, J.P., Chavarría, C.C., Little, H.,
947 Metallo, A., Rossi, V., Valenzuela-Toro, A.M., Velez-Juarbe, J., Santelli, C.M., 2014.
948 Repeated mass strandings of Miocene marine mammals from Atacama Region of Chile
949 point to sudden death at sea. *Pro. Roy. Soc. B: Biol. Sci.* 281, 20133316.

950 Ramalho, R.S., Winclker, G., Madeira, J., Helffrich, G.R., Hipólito, A.R., Quartau, R., Adena,
951 K., Schaefer, J.M., 2015. Hazard potential of volcanic flank collapses raised by new
952 megatsunami evidence. *Science Advances* 1 (2015), e1500456.

953 Rubin, K.H., Fletcher III, C.H., Sherman, C., 2000. Fossiliferous Lanai'i deposits formed by
954 multiple events rather than a single giant tsunami. *Nature* 408, 675-681.

955 Sassa, K., Dang, K., Yanagisawa, H., He B., 2016. A new landslide-induced tsunami
956 simulation model and its application to the 1792 Unzen-Mayuyama landslide-and-
957 tsunami disaster. *Landslides* 13, 1405–1419.

958 Satake, K., Kato, Y., 2001. The 1741 Oshima-Oshima eruption: extent and volume of
959 submarine debris avalanche. *Geophysical Research Letters* 28, 427-430.

960 Schulte, P., Alegret, L., Arenillas, I., Arz, J. A., Barton, P. J., Bown, P. R., Bralower, T. J.,
961 Christeson, G. L., Claeys, P., Cockell, C. S., Collins, G. S., Deutsch, A., Goldin. T. J.,
962 Goto, K., Grajales-Nishimura, J. M., Grieve, R. A. F., Gulick, S. P. S., Johnson, K. R.,

963 Kiessling, W., Koeberl, C., Kring, D. A., MacLeod, K. G., Matsui, T., Melosh, J.,
964 Montanari, A., Morgan, J. V., Neal, C. R., Nichols, D. J., Norris, R. D., Pierazzo, E.,
965 Ravizza, G., Rebolledo-Vieyra, M., Reimold, W. U., Robin, E., Salge, T., Speijer, R. P.,
966 Sweet, A. R., Urrutia-Fucugauchi, J., Vajda, V., Whalen, M. T., Willumsen, P. S., 2010.
967 The Chicxulub asteroid impact and mass extinction at the Cretaceous-Paleogene
968 boundary. *Science* 327, 1214–1218.

969 Schulte, P., Smit, J., Deutsch, A., Salges, T., Friese, A., Beichel, K., 2012. Tsunami backwash
970 deposits with Chicxulub impact ejecta and dinosaur remains from the Cretaceous–
971 Palaeogene boundary in the La Popa Basin, Mexico. *Sedimentol.* 59, 737–765.

972 Smit, J., Hertogen, J., 1980. An extraterrestrial event at the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary.
973 *Nature*, 285, 198–200.

974 Smit, J., Montanari, A., Swinburne, N.H.M., Alvarez, W., Hildebrand, A.R., Margolis, S.V.,
975 Claeys, P., Lowrie, W., Asaro, F., 1992. Tektite-bearing, deep-water clastic unit at the
976 Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary in northeastern Mexico. *Geology* 20, 99-103.

977 Smit, J., Roep, Th. B., Alvarez, W., Claeys, P., Grajales-Nishimura, J. M., Bermudez, J. 1996.
978 Coarse-grained, clastic sandstone complex at the K/T boundary around the Gulf of
979 Mexico: Deposition by tsunami waves induced by the Chicxulub impact? In Ryder, G.,
980 Fastovsky, D., Gartner, S. (eds.), *Cretaceous-Tertiary Event and Other Catastrophes in*
981 *Earth History*. *Geol. Soc. Amer. Spec. Pap.* 307, pp. 151–182.

982 Smit, J., 1999. The global stratigraphy of the Cretaceous-Tertiary boundary impact ejecta.
983 *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences* 27, 75-113.

984 Smit, J., Gaast, V. D., Lustenhouwer, W., 2004. Is the transition impact to post-impact rock
985 complete? Some remarks based on XRF scanning, electron microprobe, and thin section
986 analyses of the Yaxcopoil-1 core in the Chicxulub crater. *Meteorit. Planet. Space* 39,
987 1113–1126.

988 Spiske, M., Bahlburg, H., Weiss, R., 2014. Pliocene mass failure deposits mistaken as submarine
989 tsunami back-wash sediments - An example from Hornitos, northern Chile. *Sedimentary*
990 *Geology* 305, 69-82.

991 Stearns, H.T., 1938. Ancient shorelines on the islands of Lanai, Hawaii. *Geological Society of*
992 *America Bulletin* 49, 615-628.

993 Tada, R., Iturralde-Vinent, M.A., Matsui, T., Tajika, E., Oji, T., Goto, K., Nakano, Y.,
994 Takayama, H., Yamamoto, S., Rojas-Consuegra, R., Kiyokawa, S., García-Delgado, D.,
995 Díaz-Otero, Toyoda, K., 2003. K/T boundary deposits in the proto-Caribbean basin.
996 American Association of Petroleum Geologists Memoir 79, 582-604.

997 Takabatake, T., Shibayama, T., Esteban, M., Achiari, H., Nurisman, N., Gelfi, M., Tarigan,
998 T.A., Kencana, E.R., Fauzi, M.A.R., Panalaran, S., Harnantyar, A.S., Kyaw, T.O., 2019.
999 Field survey and evacuation behavior during the 2018 Sunda Strait tsunami. Coastal
1000 Engineering Journal 61, 423-443.

1001 Takayama, H., Tada, R., Matsui, T., Iturralde-Vinent, M. A., Oji, T., Tajika, E., Kiyokawa, S.,
1002 García, D., Okada, H., Hasegawa, T., Toyoda, K., 2000. Origin of the Peñalver
1003 Formation in northwestern Cuba and its relation to K/T boundary impact event.
1004 Sedimentary Geology 135, 295–320.

1005 Tanaka, K. L., 1997. Sedimentary history and mass flow structures of Chryse and Acidalia
1006 Planitiae, Mars. Journal of Geophysical Research 102, 4131–4149.

1007 Tappin, D., Grilli, S., Harris, J., Geller, R., Masterlark, T., Kirby, J., Shi, F., Ma, G.,
1008 Thingbaijam, K. K. S., Mai, P., 2014. Did a submarine landslide contribute to the 2011
1009 Tohoku tsunami? Marine Geology, 357, 344-361.

1010 Tehranirad, B., Harris, J.C., Grilli, A.R., Grilli, S.T., Abadie, S., Kirby, J.T., Shi, F., 2015. Far-
1011 field tsunami threat in the north Atlantic basin from large scale flank collapses of the
1012 Cumbre Vieja volcano, La Palma. Pure and Applied Geophysics 172, 3589-3616.

1013 Tsuji, Y., Nishihata, T., Saito, T., & Sato, K., 2002. Distribution of heights of the tsunami
1014 caused by the 1741 Kampo eruption of volcano Oshima - Oshima, Hokkaido (in
1015 Japanese). Gekkan Kaiyo special issue, 28, 15-44.

1016 Tsuji, Y., Kuroyanagi, Y., Narita, Y., Kinami, T., Shiraishi, M., Sato, M., et al., 2017. Height
1017 distribution of the tsunami accompanied with the 1741 Kampo volcanic eruption of the
1018 Oshima - Oshima Island on the coasts of Esashi and Matsumae districts, SW Hokkaido
1019 (in Japanese). Research Report of Tsunami Engineering, 33, 149–207.

1020 Ulvrova, M., Paris, R., Nomikou, P., Kelfoun, K., Leibbrandt, S., Tappin, D.R., McCoy, F.W.,
1021 2016. Source of the tsunami generated by the 1650 AD eruption of Kolumbo submarine

1022 volcano (Aegean Sea, Greece). *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research* 321,
1023 125-139.

1024 Usami, K., Ikehara, K., Jenkins, R.G., Ashi, J., 2016. Benthic foraminiferal evidence of deep-
1025 sea sediment transport by the 2011 Tohoku-oki earthquake and tsunami. *Marine*
1026 *Geology* 384, 214-224.

1027 USGS, 2006. Landslide Types and Processes, Fact Sheet 2004-3072, URL:
1028 <https://pubs.usgs.gov/fs/2004/3072/pdf/fs2004-3072.pdf>

1029 Verbeek, R.M., 1886. Krakatau. Batavia, Imprimerie de l'Etat, 567 p.

1030 Ward, S.N., Asphaug, E., 2000. Asteroid impact tsunami: a probabilistic hazard assessment.
1031 *Icarus* 145, 64–78.

1032 Ward, S.N., Asphaug, E., 2002. Impact tsunami-Eltanin. *Deep-Sea Research II* 49, 1073-1079.

1033 Ward, S., Asphaug, E., 2003. Asteroid impact tsunami of 2880 March 16. *Geophysical Journal*
1034 *International* 153, F6–F10.

1035 Ward, S. N., Day, S. 2001. Cumbre Vieja Volcano—Potential collapse and tsunami at La
1036 Palma, Canary Islands. *Geophysical Research Letters* 28, 3397–3400.

1037 Watts, A.B. and Masson, D.G., 1995. A giant landslide on the north flank of Tenerife, Canary
1038 Islands. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 100, 24487-24498.

1039 Watts, A.B. and Masson, D.G., 2001. New sonar evidence for recent catastrophic collapses of
1040 the north flank of Tenerife, Canary Islands. *Bulletin of Volcanology* 63, 8-19.

1041 Webster, J.M., Clague, D.A., Braga, J.C., 2007. Support for the giant wave hypothesis :
1042 evidence from submerged terraces off Lanai, Hawaii. *International Journal of Earth*
1043 *Sciences* 96, 517-524.

1044 Weiss, R., Lynett, P., Wünneman, K., 2015. The Eltanin impact and its tsunami along the coast
1045 of South America: insight for potential deposits. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*
1046 409, 175-181.

1047 Weiss, R., Wünnemann, K., Bahlburg, H., 2006. Numerical modeling of generation,
1048 propagation and run-up of tsunamis caused by oceanic impacts: Model strategy and
1049 technical solutions. *Geophysical Journal International* 167, 77–88.

1050 Wittmann, A., Kenkmann, T., Hecht, L., Stöffler, D., 2007. Reconstruction of the Chicxulub
1051 ejecta plume from its deposits in drill core Yaxcopoil-1. Geological Society of America
1052 Bulletin 119, 1151–1167.

1053 Wünnemann, K., Weiss, R., Hofmann, K., 2007. Characteristics of oceanic impact-induced
1054 large water waves–Re-evaluation of the tsunami hazard. Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 42, 1893–
1055 1903.

1056 Xenakis, A. M., Lind, S. J., Stansby, P. K., Rogers B. D., 2017. Landslides and tsunamis
1057 predicted by incompressible smoothed particle hydrodynamics (SPH) with application
1058 to the 1958 Lituya Bay event and idealized experiment. Proceedings of the Royal
1059 Society A 473, 20160674.

1060 Yanagisawa, H., 2018. Numerical simulation of the 1792 Mt. Mayuyama collapse and the
1061 resulting tsunami using two-layer model. Asia Oceania Geosciences Society (AOGS)
1062 (2018, Jun, Honolulu, Hawaii).

1063 Yavari-Ramshe S., Ataie-Ashtiani B., 2016. Numerical modeling of subaerial and submarine
1064 landslide-generated tsunami waves - recent advances and future challenges. Landslides
1065 13(6), 1325-1368.

1066

Figure captions

Fig. 1 – Tsunami size versus event probability (modified after Kawata, 2003), as seen from two different perspectives: disaster management, and geology.

Fig. 2 – Offshore to inland sediment transport during a mega-tsunami (here the source of the tsunami is a massive flank collapse from an oceanic island). A: the flank collapse from the source island produces a submarine debris avalanche with a volume of tens to hundreds of km³, and the mega-tsunami starts propagating in the ocean until it strikes another island (runup island); B: tsunami starts mobilising sediment on the shelf, including boulders; C: as the inundation progresses, sediment from different sources are mixed until a maximum altitude is reached (runup); D: tsunami backwash implies a re-mixing of the sediments deposited during the uprush inundation; E: idealized cross-sections of sedimentary deposits resulting from a mega-tsunami generated by an ocean island flank collapse. Colours correspond to successive sediment sources from left (offshore) to right (inland).

Fig. 3 – Sediment transport processes acting during an impact tsunami. A: The impact of the asteroid with the water body (ocean, lake, etc.) normally generates a crater that corresponds to the initial stage of the tsunami, as noted for explosion-generated tsunamis. B: The water crater then collapses and waves start propagating radially around the impact, while a large plume of ejecta is formed. C: While tsunami is propagating, seismic wave associated with the impact may trigger debris flows on submarine slopes. Ejecta are transported by density currents (collapsing plume) over the surface of the ocean, and then reworked as submarine suspension clouds. Wave ripples are formed in deep-water by the passage of the tsunami (e.g. Hassler and Simonson, 2001). D: Tsunami breaks on the shelf and erodes the substrate. Sediments deposited during inundation have a mixed marine – continental composition, including ejecta from the impact. E: A second generation of submarine debris flows is generated during the backwash of the tsunami, and oscillations produces compositional variations of the

1097 deep-sea sedimentary sequences (e.g. Goto et al., 2008). For more details on stages D
1098 and E we refer to figure 1.